## Towed Streamer EM – Beyond Resistivity

Finding Petroleum – New Geophysical Technologies – London, 24<sup>th</sup> February 2016

Joshua May – Sales and Marketing Manager



A Clearer Image | www.pgs.com



#### Agenda

- Introduction to TSEM
- Operations
- TSEM advantages and applications
- Inversion + seismic and EM integration
- A word on data density
- Application Establishing saturation of shallow gas
- Application Frontier exploration / prospect de-risking
- Application Near field exploration
- Examples and integrated interpretation

#### **Towed Streamer EM Operations**



Measurements (voltage) are made by the receivers at various offsets from

the source

Amplitude and phase responses of the Earth are recovered which can be transformed into subsurface resistivity models through a process of inversion

Hydrocarbons are typically characterized by high resistivity

Current is injected into a dipole source that generates an EM field

The field penetrates the sub-surface and is distorted by the presence of resistive bodies





#### **Simultaneous GeoStreamer and EM Operations**



**2.5D EM** Line spacing >1.5km, delivers 2.5D resistivity sections

3D EM

Line spacing <1.5km, delivers 2.5D resistivity sections and 3D resistivity volume(s)



Simultaneous acquisition of 2D GeoStreamer and Towed Streamer EM from back deck of Nordic Explorer, 2013

4

## **Controlled Source Electromagnetics (CSEM)**



Aim – determine resistivity away from the well



## PGS

#### **Advantages of Towed Streamer EM**

Towed Streamer EM is based on a standard 2D seismic system

- Can be deployed from virtually any seismic vessel
- Does not require an EM dedicated crew
- Acquisition speed is the same as Towed Streamer Seismic
- Enables simultaneous acquisition of EM and GeoStreamer
- Realtime QC and onboard processing of data
- Streamer has dense receiver spacing resulting in superior data density
- Receivers are towed through a homogeneous water layer so less sensitive to localized anomalies on the seabed

**Commercial Benefits** 

Geophysical Benefits







#### **TSEM – Applications**

- Regional-Scale Exploration in Frontier Areas
- Near-Field Exploration in Mature Areas Reduce cost per barrel / extend field life / delay decommissioning
- Estimate Gas Saturation Determine commerciality of prospect / 4D / prospect ranking
- Shallow Gas (Hazard) Detection Mitigate drilling hazards (reduce associated costs) / improve understanding of field dynamics
- Characterization of the Overburden
- Detection of Gas Hydrates (in up to 1250m water depth)



## **Data Examples: Detection of Known Reservoirs**



Peon, North Sea



Troll, North Sea



Alvheim, North Sea



Bressay & Bentley, North Sea







Wisting, Barents Sea

### How is that Value Extracted (e.g. Block / Prospect Evaluation)? Interpretation & Integration





Nice structure (in the correct setting)

## **Block / Prospect Evaluation**



Interpretation & Integration

| 20000 0                              |                       | Seismic | EM               |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|
|                                      | Тгар                  | ✓       |                  |
|                                      | Reservoir             | ✓       |                  |
|                                      | Seal                  |         | ~                |
|                                      | Charge                |         | ~                |
|                                      | Gross Rock<br>Volume  | ✓       |                  |
|                                      | Hydrocarbon<br>Volume |         | ✓                |
| Nice Structure + Resistivity Anomaly | Prospect              | Comr    | nercial Prospect |

Nice Structure + Resistivity Anomaly



### **Seismically Guided Inversion**



## **Further work: Constrained Inversion**

#### Unconstrained inversion







### **Further work: Constrained Inversion**

Tie to seismic and well

#### Seismically guided inversion





# PGS

# 200.00 400.00 600.00 800.00 1000.00 1000.00 1000.00 1000.00 1000.00 2000.00-

Low

2400.00

2600.00

2800.00

**Data density – Enables more Challenging Prospects to be Characterised** 

Vertical Resistivity

High

## High data density on both the source and receiver side:

- 72 receiver pairs in EM streamer
- EM source sweep every 250 m

#### Can enable, in conjunction with seismic:

1. Distinction between charged and noncharged resistive reservoir; chalk for example

2. Higher resolution than traditional EM acquisition systems

3. Estimation of gas saturation

#### **Geophysical Benefits**

Increased Data Density = Increased Sensitivity





### **Peon 2010** Unconstrained Inversion, Vertical Resistivity Sections





# PGS

#### **Peon – A case study in using EM to derive gas saturation levels**



Peon gas reservoir outline in red showing seismic amplitudes between a 535 and 575 m window (Outline source: www.npd.no/en/)

Note areas of high RMS seismic amplitude within the reservoir indicating the presence of gas

RMS amplitudes are fairly evenly distributed within the reservoir (the slight difference between East and West is due to use of merged seismic data)

From the seismic alone we do not have an understanding of the level of gas saturation

Saturation information is essential in:

- 1. Determining commerciality of a field
- 2. Understanding potential drilling hazards
- 3. Monitoring changes over time 4D



#### **Peon – A case study in using EM to derive gas saturation levels**



Peon gas reservoir outline in red showing EM inverted saturation overlaid on seismic amplitudes between a 535 and 575 m window (Outline source: www.npd.no/en/)

Note the fairly even distribution of RMS amplitude values within the reservoir outline

This is in contrast to the clear and significant differences in gas saturation shown by the EM data

In the North East where RMS amplitudes are similar to the rest of the reservoir, the EM derived saturation is very low

RMS amplitudes similar to those in the North East are seen in the centre of the reservoir, where EM derived gas saturation is high

# PGS

#### **Regional Scale Exploration in Fastnet Basin**



Vertical Resistivity (sensitivity to thin resistors)



Horizontal Resistivity (sensitivity to large-scale structures)



Large scale frontier exploration – All FNT 2013 EM data recently re-inverted using updated 2.5D code, seismically guided inversion examples using three horizons over Barryroe discovery available for data show



#### **Barents Sea - Towed Streamer EM Data Coverage**



#### **Barents Sea Proof of Concept – Johan Castberg (Skrugard) Area**



PGS



#### **Barents Sea - Near Field Exploration**

Joint interpretation of the resistivity and seismic data highlight interesting anomalies outside of the known HC reservoirs:

- Seismic attribute on Top Snadd shows strong anomalies at 700-800m depth
- These anomalies are within and outwith the main Caurus field outline
- EM anisotropy anomalies correspond well to the seismic anomalies





Location map

Seismic attributes (RMS)



Apparent anisotropy from 2.5D inversion

#### **Regional-Scale Exploration in Barents Sea SE – Unconstrained Inversions**





Vertical Resistivity – depth slice - 90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 Vertical Resistivity depth section, Wisting, Barents Sea -20 - 10

#### Towed Streamer EM

Determination of sub-surface resistivity







#### Pre-stack Seismic Inversion on Acoustic Impedance Low VolVs Low hydrocarbon Ooze saturation Shales Hydrocarbon Hot Shale 1 reservoir Hot Shale 3 Basement Carbonates Hot Shale 2 Cemented units Evaporites High Resistivity EM Data

Integrated Interpretation of EM and GeoStreamer Data

The Wisting oil discovery: Using CSEM and seismic attributes? Tor Veggeland, Bente Flakstad Vold, Richard Olstad and Andre Janke; Tullow Oil Norge AS

#### Seismic Velocity



#### **EM Resistivity**



30

# PGS

#### Summary – Value added by Towed Streamer EM

De-risk prospects from both a charge and saturation perspective – improve near field potential and extend the life of existing infrastructure

- High density marine CSEM data can now be acquired on a regional-scale as well as prospectscale
- Unconstrained anisotropic inversion of Towed Streamer EM data enables resistivity determination that matches resistivity measured in the well
- The addition of seismic information can further enhance the results from EM inversion
- Resistivity information from inversion should always be interpreted with seismic data



3D unconstrained resistivity volume from the Barents Sea, ~600 sq km